

## Chapter 14

# Marine invaders and bivalve aquaculture: sources, impacts, and consequences

*Dianna K. Padilla, Michael J. McCann, and Sandra E. Shumway*

### Introduction

---

Since the earliest times of human travel, people have transported species beyond their native ranges. Some introductions have been deliberate for food and trade, while others have been an unintentional consequence of species being transported with the movement of humans and goods. Historically, this was in large part due to a lack of knowledge or understanding of the potential dangers of such introductions, especially the ecological and economic damage that can occur when species are transported to areas outside of communities and systems with which they share a long-term evolutionary history. For example, starlings were deliberately introduced to Central Park in 1890 as

homage to Shakespeare, but are now a major pest, causing \$800 million in agricultural damages annually (Pimentel et al. 2005). The eastern gray squirrel (*Sciurus carolinensis*) was first introduced to Britain in 1876 as a living garden ornament, and became major pests both in Britain and Europe. This introduction is now responsible for economic losses and extensive ecological damage across Britain and Europe (Gurnell et al. 2004).

With globalization and increased trade, there has been an increase in the spread and impacts of species that have been introduced outside of their native range. As these introductions begin to have major impacts on ecosystem services on which we depend, such as species cultivated in aquaculture, greater

interest has been generated on controlling the spread and impacts of nonnative species. The heightened awareness of the problems associated with nonnative introductions has resulted in more care and often regulations to prevent the unintentional spread of macrospecies beyond their native ranges.

The introduction and spread of nonnative species has garnered much recent attention from scientists, managers, and industry on virtually every continent, as it is one of the most pressing environmental issues around the globe. The environmental and economic costs of introductions of nonnative species introductions are enormous and increasing daily. A recent estimate of the total annual cost of nonnative species introductions in the United States, in terms of losses and damages as well as control costs, exceeds \$120 billion (Pimentel et al. 2005). In spite of awareness and regulations, new species introductions and the spread of existing pest species continue.

Several terms have been used to describe such species of concern. They have been variously described as exotic species, alien species, introduced species, and invasive species (Colautti and Richardson 2009). Some authors have tried to make distinctions between species that are known to have economic impacts or those that behave differently in new areas as compared with their native habitats (Valéry et al. 2008). The economic and environmental impacts of most species have not, however, been assessed, and there are often long lag times between initial introductions and spread and the measured impacts for many species (e.g., Klinger et al. 2006; Blanchard 2009; Karatayev et al. 2009). Here we are considering all species that are out of place in time and space relative to their natural distribution, and will refer to them as introduced species or invaders. These species have been transported beyond their native range by human activities, rather than through natural dispersal.

In marine waters, aquaculture has a long history, dating back to oyster cultivation by

the Romans (Gunther 1897; Chew 1990). Oysters and other marine species have been transported for aquaculture since at least the 1700s (reviewed in Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) 1997; Chew 1990; Ruesink et al. 2005; McKindsey et al. 2007). Along with the transport of species for aquaculture there has been the unintentional transport of associated species (e.g., commensals, attached organisms, parasites, and diseases) to new waters. Charles Elton (1958) noted that aquaculture, especially oyster aquaculture, was particularly important for the spread of nonnative species. He states that, "But the greatest agency of all that spreads marine animals to new quarters of the world must be the business of oyster culture." He goes on to say that, "The moving about, without particularly stringent precautions, of masses of oysters was bound to spread other species as well."

Why should we care about introduced species? As mentioned above, these species can cause considerable direct economic and environmental damage. They can impact human activities or structures (e.g., fouling human-made and human-used structures), impact species of concern to humans (e.g., commercial species), or disrupt natural communities and the ecosystem services they provide. The spread of nonnative species can also have indirect effects. They can facilitate the spread of harmful algae or disease agents that are toxic or harmful to humans or other important species (e.g., Lilly et al. 2002; Cohen and Zabin 2009; Hégaret et al. 2009; Shumway, unpublished data; see also Chapter 13 in this book). For example, the shellfish disease caused by the protozoan *Bonamia* has been introduced to different shores with the transport of shellfish (Friedman and Perkins 1994; Cigarría and Elston 1997; Bishop et al. 2006), and this disease can have devastating impacts on local native fisheries where it is introduced (McArdle et al. 1991).

Presently, shipping, ballast water, and hull fouling are the primary sources of new species

introductions and the spread of introduced species in aquatic habitats (Cohen and Carlton 1998). Many species introduced through shipping activities have large impacts on aquaculture species and activities associated with aquaculture. Shipping is not, however, the only source of introduced pests. Aquaculture is the second leading source of introduced species (Ruiz et al. 1997). In many cases, other species are transported outside of their native range with aquaculture species (Mann 1979; Critchley and Dijkema 1984; Blanchard 1997; Cohen and Zabin 2009). In other cases, the aquaculture species themselves can escape culture and spread from where they are initially introduced (reviewed in McKindsey et al. 2007).

Rather than providing a comprehensive history of species introductions, this chapter is intended to provide an overview of the practices surrounding introductions and the impacts of introductions on shellfish aquaculture. This chapter will also keep an eye toward improved management decisions and aquaculture practices that will reduce the likelihood of unwanted species introductions and their cascading negative impacts. Here we present information on shellfish aquaculture species and species transported in association with aquaculture activities that have become introduced outside of their native range, as well as introduced species that impact shellfish aquaculture. We also address management and policy strategies and needs to minimize the role of aquaculture as a source of nonnative introductions, as well as strategies that will help the aquaculture industry by reducing the introduction and spread of species that impact shellfish aquaculture.

### Introduced shellfish from aquaculture

The “Blue Revolution” of shellfish aquaculture is widely recognized as an important source of food and an important tool for pro-

tecting wild populations of commercial species, which often fall victim to overharvest (Muir 2005; Costa-Pierce 2010). Many authors have reviewed the history of the development of aquaculture (e.g., Shatkin et al. 1997, and references therein; Kurlansky 2006). Initially, the majority of shellfish introductions were deliberate, for replacement fisheries for a collapsed native species fishery or to develop a new industry, such as the introduction of *Argopecten irradians* to China (see Chew 1990). Shellfish represent one-quarter of all aquaculture production worldwide (FAO 1997; USDA 2009). In the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) census of aquaculture, \$203 million of the \$1.1 billion aquaculture industry was associated with molluscan shellfish aquaculture (USDA 2009). By far, the fastest growing sector of the aquaculture industry is the culture of molluscs, including primarily oysters, clams, and scallops, which increased ~130% from 1998 to 2005 (USDA 2009). As the shellfish industry has grown and hatchery and husbandry techniques have been developed, aquaculture has spread, and the number of species cultured and places where aquaculture has developed has grown accordingly.

The species most widely introduced around the world for shellfish aquaculture is the Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas* (Kurlansky 2006; McKindsey et al. 2007). This species was initially introduced to the Pacific coast of North America from Japan in 1903 to replace the fishery for the native Olympic oyster, *Ostrea lurida*, which was depleted by the late 1800s (Chew 1990; see also the *Journal of Shellfish Research* 28[1] and reviews therein). Olympic oysters form reefs, but are small bodied. They are slow growing, and have relatively low fecundity and limited dispersal potential (Baker 1995). Commercial harvesting rapidly decimated local populations and a replacement oyster was sought to maintain the growing oyster industry, and to supply oysters to the U.S. East Coast where populations of the native *Crassostrea virginica* were suffering

from overharvest (Mann 1979; Quayle 1988). Similar stories have played out around the world as populations of native shellfish are overharvested and the demand for shellfish has increased. With growing demand, there has been a steady increase in efforts to indentify new shellfish species for commercial cultures.

At present, there are at least 63 species of bivalves in aquaculture somewhere in the world. Table 14.1 provides a list of bivalve species grown in aquaculture, their native range, and the countries or continents in which they are presently grown in culture. Of the 63 bivalve species cultured, 15 (24%) are grown on continents outside of their native range (Table 14.1). Many of the species that are grown only on their native continent are grown in areas outside of their native range, where natural dispersal would never carry them. Of the 15 species grown on continents outside of their native range, 33% (5 of 15) have been documented to have established feral populations and are having negative impacts on the systems where they have invaded (Table 14.2).

Activities associated with shellfish aquaculture have been responsible for the introduction of 48 additional noncultured species to new regions of the world (Table 14.3). Once species are introduced outside of their native range, they may continue to spread via the dispersal of adults or larvae. The new feral populations that are created may then serve as source populations for introductions through other vectors. For example, although *Crassostrea gigas* was deliberately introduced for culture to many areas in Europe, it appears also to have spread to some areas in Europe via fouled ship hulls (Fletcher and Manfredi 1995; Eno et al. 1997; Eno 1998).

Many of the bivalve species grown in aquaculture are important ecosystem engineers, capable of having large impacts on communities and ecosystems where they are found by modifying the physical habitat or ecosystem processes in a way that changes the habitat for

other species (Jones et al. 1994; Cuddington et al. 2007). Oysters are especially important ecosystem engineers and, when in high density, have the ability to modify ecosystems dramatically and alter habitat suitability for other species (see Chapter 9 in this book)

Among the aquaculture species that have become introduced outside of their native range, bivalves are by far the most studied. In some cases, these species have been deliberately introduced to establish feral populations for seeding aquaculture, such as *Crassostrea gigas* in British Columbia, Canada (Quayle 1988), which is frequently considered among the 100 worst invaders worldwide (DAISIE 2008). In other cases, species have escaped aquaculture operations and are now spreading through larval transport, such as *Perna viridis* (Rajagopal et al. 2006). In the Wadden Sea and in France, recent warming of local waters is believed to be facilitating the spread of *Crassostrea gigas* (Diederich et al. 2005; Schmidt et al. 2008; Thieltges et al. 2009) and increased invasion, especially through larval transport, is expected with continued climatic change. These feral populations can have large impacts on the systems they invade and can impact other ecologically and commercially important species (e.g., Dubois et al. 2006; Nehls et al. 2006; Rajagopal et al. 2006; Kochmann et al. 2008; Markert et al. 2010). These invasions can also impact marine reserves, a major tool for the protection of marine biodiversity and wild-caught fisheries. Klinger et al. (2006) found that invading *Crassostrea gigas* in the San Juan Archipelago in Washington State was more abundant in marine reserves than paired control areas outside of reserves. This invasion appears to be impacting biodiversity, especially when oysters are dense (D.K. Padilla, pers. obs.). Surprisingly, few quantitative or experimental studies have examined the impacts of aquaculture escapees on the systems they invade (Table 14.2). This is clearly an area where more research is needed before we can draw

**Table 14.1** Bivalve species in aquaculture.

Family	Species	Common name	Native range	Nonnative	Escaped
Arcidae	<i>Anadara granosa</i>	Blood cockle	Asia		
	<i>Scapharca broughtonii</i>	Inflated ark	Asia		
	<i>Scapharca subcrenata</i>	Half-crenate ark	Asia		
Cardiidae	<i>Cerastoderma edule</i>	Common edible cockle	Africa, Europe, Former USSR		
Hiatellidae	<i>Panopea abrupta</i>	Pacific geoduck	North America		
Mactridae	<i>Mactra glabrata</i>	Smooth mactra	Africa		
	<i>Mactra veneriformis</i>	Globose clam	Asia		
	<i>Spisula solidissima</i>	Atlantic surf clam	North America		
Myidae	<i>Mya arenaria</i>	Sand gaper	North America, Asia, Europe, Former USSR	North America	Yes
Mytilidae	<i>Aulacomya ater</i>	Cholga mussel	Africa, South America, Oceania		
	<i>Choromytilus chorus</i>	Choro mussel	South America		
	<i>Mytilus californianus</i>	Californian mussel	North America		
	<i>Mytilus chilensis</i>	Chilean mussel	South America		
	<i>Mytilus coruscus</i>	Korean mussel	Asia, Former USSR		
	<i>Mytilus edulis</i>	Blue mussel	Africa, North America, South America, Asia, Europe		
	<i>Mytilus galloprovincialis</i>	Mediterranean mussel	Africa, Asia, Europe, Former USSR	Africa, North America, Asia	Yes
	<i>Mytilus planulatus</i>	Australian mussel	Oceania		
	<i>Perna canaliculus</i>	New Zealand mussel	Oceania		
	<i>Perna indica</i>	Indian brown mussel	Asia		
<i>Perna perna</i>	South American rock mussel	Africa, South America	North America		
<i>Perna viridis</i>	Green mussel	Asia, Oceania	North America, Oceania	Yes	

Table 14.1 (Continued)

Family	Species	Common name	Native range	Nonnative	Escaped
Pectinidae	<i>Aequipecten opercularis</i>	Queen scallop	Africa, Europe		
	<i>Argopecten irradians</i>	Atlantic bay scallop	North America, South America	Asia	
	<i>Argopecten purpuratus</i>	Peruvian calico scallop	North America, South America		
	<i>Argopecten ventricosus</i>	Pacific calico scallop	North America, South America		
	<i>Chlamys farreri</i>	Farrer's scallop	Asia, Former USSR		
	<i>Chlamys islandica</i>	Iceland scallop	North America, Europe, Former USSR		
	<i>Chlamys nobilis</i>	Noble scallop	Asia		
	<i>Patinopecten yessoensis</i>	Yesso scallop	Asia, Former USSR	North America	
	<i>Pecten fumatus</i>	Australian southern scallop	Oceania		
	<i>Pecten maximus</i>	Great Atlantic scallop	Africa, Europe		
	<i>Pecten novaezelandiae</i>	New Zealand scallop	Oceania		
	<i>Placopecten magellanicus</i>	Sea scallop	North America		
	Pteriidae	<i>Pinctada fucata</i>	Japanese pearl oyster	Africa, Asia, Oceania	
<i>Pinctada margaritifera</i>		Black-lip pearl oyster	Africa, North America, Asia, Oceania		
<i>Pinctada maxima</i>		Silver-lip pearl oyster	Asia, Oceania		
<i>Pteria penguin</i>		Penguin wing oyster	Asia	Oceania	
Ostreidae	<i>Crassostrea belcheri</i>	Lugubrious cupped oyster	Asia		
	<i>Crassostrea corteziensis</i>	Cortez oyster	North America, South America		
	<i>Crassostrea gigas</i>	Pacific oyster	Asia	Africa, North America, South America, Europe, Oceania	Yes
	<i>Crassostrea iredalei</i>	Slipper cupped oyster	Asia		
	<i>Crassostrea madrasensis</i>	Indian backwater oyster	Asia		

Table 14.1 (Continued)

Family	Species	Common name	Native range	Nonnative	Escaped
	<i>Crassostrea rhizophorae</i>	Mangrove cupped oyster	North America, South America	Oceania	
	<i>Crassostrea rivularis</i>	Suminoe oyster	Asia	North America	
	<i>Crassostrea virginica</i>	Eastern oyster	North America, South America	North America	Yes
	<i>Ostrea chilensis</i>	Chilean flat oyster	South America		
	<i>Ostrea edulis</i>	European flat oyster	Europe	North America	
	<i>Ostrea lurida</i>	Edible (flat) oyster	North America		
	<i>Saccostrea commercialis</i>	Sidney rock oyster	Oceania		
	<i>Saccostrea cucullata</i>	Hooded oyster	Africa, Asia	Europe	
	<i>Saccostrea echinata</i>	Spiny oyster	Asia, Oceania		
Solecurtidae	<i>Sinonovacula constricta</i>	Constricted tagelus	Asia		
Tridacnidae	<i>Tridacna derasa</i>	Smooth giant clam	Asia, Oceania		
	<i>Tridacna gigas</i>	Giant clam	Asia, Oceania		
Veneridae	<i>Mercenaria mercenaria</i>	Northern quahog	North America	Europe	
	<i>Meretrix lusoria</i>	Japanese hard clam	Asia		
	<i>Meretrix meretrix</i>	Asiatic hard clam	Asia		
	<i>Paphia undulata</i>	Undulate venus	Asia		
	<i>Protothaca staminea</i>	Pacific littleneck clam	North America		
	<i>Venerupis decussatus</i>	Grooved carpet shell	Africa, Asia, Europe		
	<i>Venerupis philippinarum</i> ( <i>Ruditapes philippinarum</i> )	Japanese littleneck	North America, Asia	Europe	
	<i>Saxidomus giganteus</i>	Butter clam	North America		
	<i>Venerupis pullastra</i>	Pullet carpet shell	Africa, Asia, Europe		

Species are grouped by family. Columns include the continents where each species is naturally found and grown in aquaculture (“Native range”) and where it has been introduced for aquaculture outside of that native range (“Nonnative”). For both the native range and where they are grown, species are not always found or grown in all areas within each geographic area. “Escaped” designates those species that have been documented to spread beyond where they are grown for aquaculture or have established feral populations. Information on all species from FAO (1996). Information on *Mya arenaria*, *Mytilus galloprovincialis*, *Perna viridis* and *Crassostrea gigas* also from the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS 2009) and data for *Crassostrea virginica* also from Coles et al. (1999).

**Table 14.2** Bivalve aquaculture species that have escaped in regions outside of their native range, and documented impacts of feral populations.

Scientific name	Common name	Impact	Species/systems impacted	Reference
<i>Crassostrea gigas</i>	Pacific oyster	Ecosystem engineer, change substrate available for other species, competition for space with benthic species, competition for food with suspension-feeders, overgrowth of benthic species, affect suspended particle concentrations and quality, decrease turbidity and increase light penetration, provide refuge for invertebrates from predators, affect flow and sedimentation, foul water systems	Soft sediment communities, fouling community (ascidians, bryozoans, sponges, hydrozoans, algae), native intertidal mussels, cultivated <i>Crassostrea gigas</i> , other engineering and reef building species including honeycomb worm ( <i>Sabellaria alveolata</i> )	Diederich et al. (2005); Cognie et al. (2006); Decottignies et al. (2007a, 2007b); Rodriguez and Ibarra-Obando (2008); Sousa et al. (2009); reviewed in Ruesink et al. (2005)
<i>Crassostrea virginica</i>	Eastern oyster	Ecosystem engineer, affect phytoplankton species composition, biodeposition of waste materials, affect flow and sedimentation, decrease turbidity, increase light penetration, provide refuge for invertebrates from predators, foul water systems	Phytoplankton, benthic invertebrate communities	Mugg Pietros and Rice (2003); Sousa et al. (2009); reviewed in Ruesink et al. (2005)
<i>Mytilus galloprovincialis</i>	Mediterranean mussel	Ecosystem engineer, increase habitat for infaunal species, provide hard substrate, provide refuge for invertebrates, increase recruitment and species richness in some habitats, affect flow and sedimentation, empty shells block soft-sediment burrowing organisms, increase food supply for intertidal predators, competitive displacement of native species, hybridize with native mussels	<i>Mytilus trossulus</i> , soft-sediment burrowers, tube-building polychaete <i>Cunnarea capensis</i> , limpet <i>Soutellastra granularis</i> , limpet <i>Soutellastra argenwillei</i> , other benthic invertebrates, African black oystercatchers <i>Haematopus moquini</i>	Branch and Steffani (2004); Wonham (2004); Steffani and Branch (2005); Robinson et al. (2005, 2007); Coleman and Hockey (2008); Sousa et al. (2009); Branch et al. (2010)

Table 14.2 (Continued)

Scientific name	Common name	Impact	Species/systems impacted	Reference
<i>Ostrea edulis</i>	Edible (flat) oyster	Ecosystem engineer, provide refuge for invertebrates from predators, spread disease	Other populations of <i>Ostrea edulis</i>	da Silva et al. (2005); Sousa et al. (2009); reviewed in Ruesink et al. 2005)
<i>Perna perna</i>	Brown mussel	Ecosystem engineer, provide hard substrate in soft-sediment habitats, provide refuge for invertebrates from predators, affect flow and sedimentation, foul navigation buoys, foul water systems	Benthic invertebrate communities	Rajagopal et al. (2003); Sousa et al. (2009)
<i>Perna viridis</i>	Green mussel	Ecosystem engineer, provide hard substrate, affect water flow and sedimentation, foul power plant heat interchangers, foul water systems, clog crab traps and clam culture bags, foul vessels	Algae, hydroids, tubicolous polychaetes, barnacles, and ascidians, free-living polychaetes, and amphipods	Masilamoni et al. (2003, 2002); Rajagopal et al. (2003); Sousa et al. (2009; reviewed in Rajagopal et al. 2006)
<i>Venerupis philippinarum</i>	Japanese littleneck	Ecosystem engineer, provide refuge from predators, provide hard substrate, affect flow and sedimentation, enhancement of oxygen and solute penetration because of burrowing, increase filtration capacity of bivalves in ecosystem, increase food supply for intertidal predators	Eurasian oystercatcher, <i>Haematopus ostralegus</i> , <i>Polydora</i> spp., other benthic invertebrates	Gosling (2003); Pranovi et al. (2006); Caldow et al. (2007); Sousa et al. (2009)

generalizations. Thus far, the majority of impacts appear to be due to the ecosystem engineering effects of these invaders (Padilla 2010). The types of impacts of invasion by feral aquaculture species that have been documented include fouling, overgrowth, or dis-

placement of native benthic species (Reise et al. 2005; Diederich 2006; Nehls et al. 2006; Buttger et al. 2008; Kochmann et al. 2008; Krasso et al. 2008; Markert et al. 2010) and reduced recruitment of native species and negative impacts on populations of other

**Table 14.3** Taxa that have been introduced through bivalve aquaculture, either intentionally or accidentally.

Group	Scientific name	Common name	Introduction	Reference
Alga (brown)	<i>Rugulopteryx okamurae</i>		Accidental	Verlaque et al. (2009)
	<i>Sargassum muticum</i>		Accidental	Critchley and Dijkema (1984); FAO (2005)
	<i>Undaria pinnatifida</i>	Asian kelp	Intentional and accidental	Fletcher and Manfredi (1995); Curiel et al. (2001); Global Invasive Species Database (GISD) (2005)
Alga (diatom)	<i>Coscinodiscus wailesii</i>		Accidental	Laing and Gollasch (2002)
Alga (dinoflagellate)	<i>Alexandrium catenella</i>		Accidental	Lilly et al. (2002)
	<i>Alexandrium minutum</i>	Red tide dinoflagellate	Accidental	GISD (2005)
	<i>Gonyaulax excavata</i>		Accidental	Langeland et al. (1984)
	<i>Gymnodinium catenatum</i>	Chain-forming dinoflagellate	Accidental	GISD (2005)
	<i>Procentrum minimum</i>		Accidental	Langeland et al. (1984)
Alga (green)	<i>Codium fragile tomentosoides</i>	Dead man's fingers	Accidental	GISD (2005)
Alga (red)	<i>Heterosiphonia japonica</i>		Accidental	Sjøtun et al. (2008); Moore and Harries (2009)
Annelid	<i>Boccardia proboscidea</i>		Accidental	Bailey-Brock (2000)
	<i>Polydora</i> sp.		Accidental	FAO (2007)
	<i>Polydora nuchalis</i>		Accidental	Bailey-Brock (1990)
Bacteria	<i>Vibrio cholerae</i>	Asiatic cholera	Accidental	GISD (2005)
Bryozoan	<i>Bugula neritina</i>	Brown bryozoan	Accidental	GISD (2005)
	<i>Schizoporella errata</i>	Branching bryozoan	Accidental	GISD (2005)
	<i>Schizoporella unicornis</i>	Single-horn bryozoan	Accidental	GISD (2005)
Crustacean	<i>Mytilicola orientalis</i>	Parasitic copepod	Accidental	McKindsey et al. (2007)
	<i>Rhithropanopeus harrisi</i>	Estuarine mud crab	Accidental	GISD (2005)
Echinoderm	<i>Asterias amurensis</i>	Flatbottom sea star	Accidental	GISD (2005)

**Table 14.3** (Continued)

Group	Scientific name	Common name	Introduction	Reference
Mollusc	<i>Batillaria attramentaria</i>	Asian hornsnail	Accidental	
	<i>Boonea bisuturalis</i>	Two-groove odostome	Accidental	GISD (2005)
	<i>Crassostrea gigas</i>	Pacific oyster	Intentional	GISD (2005)
	<i>Crassostrea virginica</i>	Eastern oyster	Intentional	McKindsey et al. (2007)
	<i>Crepidula fornicata</i>	Common Atlantic slippersnail	Accidental	Minchin et al. (1995); Blanchard (1997); GISD (2005)
	<i>Cyclope neritea</i>		Accidental	Le Duff et al. (2009)
	<i>Fusinus rostratus</i>		Accidental	Le Duff et al. (2009)
	<i>Gemma gemma</i>	Amethyst gem clam	Accidental	GISD (2005)
	<i>Gibbula albida</i>		Accidental	Le Duff et al. 2009
	<i>Mercenaria mercenaria</i>	Northern quahog	Intentional	FAO (2005); Le Duff et al. 2009
	<i>Musculista senhousia</i>	Senhouse mussel	Accidental	Bachelet et al. (2009); GISD (2005)
	<i>Mya arenaria</i>	Eastern soft-shell clam	Accidental	GISD (2005); Le Duff et al. 2009
	<i>Mytilopsis sillei</i>	Black-striped mussel	Accidental	GISD (2005)
	<i>Mytilus galloprovincialis</i>	Mediterranean mussel	Accidental	GISD (2005)
	<i>Ocenebrellus inornatus</i>	Japanese oyster drill	Accidental	Faasse and Lighthart (2009); Le Duff et al. (2009)
	<i>Ostrea edulis</i>	Edible (flat) oyster	Intentional	GISD (2005)
	<i>Perna perna</i>	Brown mussel	Intentional and accidental	FAO (2005)
	<i>Perna viridis</i>	Green mussel	Intentional and accidental	GISD (2005)
	<i>Rangia cuneata</i>	Atlantic rangia	Accidental	GISD (2005)
	<i>Rapana venosa</i>	Asian rapa whelk	Accidental	GISD (2005); Le Duff et al. (2009)
<i>Urosalpinx cinerea</i>	Eastern oyster drill	Accidental	Faasse and Lighthart (2009); GISD (2005)	
<i>Venerupis philippinarum</i>	Manila clam	Accidental	Cloern (1982); FAO (2005); Le Duff et al. (2009)	
Plant	<i>Spartina alterniflora</i>	Smooth cordgrass	Accidental	Chew (1998); Cville et al. (2005)
Protozoan	<i>Perkinsus marinus</i>	Dermo	Accidental	Cohen and Zabin (2009)
Tunicate	<i>Ascidia aspersa</i>	European sea squirt	Accidental	GISD (2005)
	<i>Styela clava</i>	Asian tunicate	Accidental	GISD (2005)
	<i>Styela plicata</i>	Leathery tunicate	Accidental	GISD (2005)

important native ecosystem engineers (Dubois et al. 2006; Kelly and Volpe 2007; Kelly et al. 2008). Feral populations of aquaculture species have also been found to function as an ecological trap for important native species (Trimble et al. 2009) or to hybridize with native species, disrupting local populations and aquaculture (e.g., Rawson et al. 1999; Wonham 2004; Dias et al. 2009; Shields et al. 2010).

### Species moved with aquaculture

---

The movement of species for aquaculture has also resulted in the introduction of a wide range of additional species associated with the target species (Mann 1979; Carlton 1989, 1996, 1999). This has included all types of organisms, from macro animal and algal species to microparasites, including disease agents (Chapter 13), and harmful microalgae (Table 14.3). Over 40 species of bivalves, gastropods, arthropods, echinoderms, crustaceans, and algae have been introduced through activities associated with bivalve shellfish aquaculture. In some cases, these organisms were introduced historically, when the aquaculture industry was in its infancy, and when the ecological and economic dangers of transporting nonnative species to new environments were not known or considered unimportant (Elton 1958). For example, in the early days of oyster aquaculture, large quantities of oysters were collected from natural environments and sent by train or ship to new parts of the world (Mann 1979). As ecosystem engineers, oysters provide habitat for a wide range of both sessile and mobile species. Thus, when oysters were placed in new habitats with the hopes that they would grow and thrive, all of their associates were also moved and introduced. In some cases, the species that were transferred became significant pests of the aquaculture industry that was established. Smooth cordgrass, *Spartina alterniflora*, is the

primary salt marsh grass on the Atlantic coast of North America. However, this species was accidentally introduced on the West Coast, most likely with oysters transferred from the Atlantic seaboard (Civille et al. 2005). The spread of this invader greatly reduces essential habitat for shellfish and aquaculture, and thus, although it is a protected species in much of its native habitat, it has become a major pest where introduced, especially when it hybridizes with native congeners (Brusati and Grosholz 2008).

The Atlantic slipper snail, *Crepidula fornicata*, is another such species. This snail is a suspension feeder that occurs in very high population densities. It was carried to Europe with the transfer of the eastern oyster, *Crassostrea virginica*, which was imported as a replacement for the severely overharvested European flat oyster, *Ostrea edulis*. Although the eastern oyster did not prove to be a good species for aquaculture in Europe, and was replaced by importation of the Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*, introduced populations of *Crepidula fornicata* have flourished (Minchin et al. 1995; Blanchard 1997). This snail is currently a major problem for shellfish aquaculture in many areas of Europe, where it competes with aquaculture species for suspended food. The Japanese littleneck (=Manila clam), *Venerupis (Ruditapes) philippinarum*, is another species accidentally introduced with the Pacific oyster. Rather than becoming a pest like *Crepidula fornicata*, the Japanese littleneck has become an important commercial species (Chew 1990). Japanese littlenecks have primarily supported a wild-caught fishery on the U.S. West Coast and Canada, but there has been a recent expansion of aquaculture for this species in North America and Europe (Flassch and Leborgne 1990; Zhu et al. 1999; Ferreira et al. 2009).

With the development of hatchery techniques, the movement of wild-caught animals for aquaculture has been greatly reduced as growers are able to reliably produce seed for

transplant in the lab, and no longer need to rely on reproduction in the wild or constant restocking from native regions (Quayle 1988). In some cases, live animals or their shells are still moved for aquaculture and restoration purposes (Luckenbach et al. 1999; Hégaret et al. 2008; Cohen and Zabin 2009). Awareness of the problems associated with nonnative introductions has resulted in more care, and in some cases, regulations to prevent the unintentional spread of macrospecies. Microspecies, including harmful algae (see Hégaret et al. 2008), protozoans, and viruses, including some that cause disease, remain a challenge, especially when species are moved within a country with the transfer of aquaculture species. This was the case with the spread of the oyster parasite *Perkinsus marinus* (dermo), which was spread to Delaware Bay with oysters from the Chesapeake (Ford 1996).

Advances are being made to determine best practices to minimize the likelihood of unintentional transfers of species (e.g., Mineur et al. 2007; Hégaret et al. 2008; see section below). Illicit trade in some species continues, and it continues to introduce species to new areas (Verlaque and Latala 1996).

### Introduced species that impact aquaculture

Many nonnative species that have been introduced in marine and estuarine waters around the world have deleterious impacts on shellfish aquaculture (e.g., Anon 2005; Castilla et al. 2005; Bullard et al. 2007; Lambert 2007) (Table 14.4). Ironically, as mentioned above, some of these species are those that were introduced via aquaculture (Table 14.3). As mentioned above, *Crepidula fornicata* is very abundant now in its introduced range and competes with native blue mussels, as well as *Crassostrea gigas*, which is now the major shellfish grown in aquaculture in Europe (Beninger et al. 2007; Blanchard et al. 2008;

Blanchard 2009). The oyster drill, *Urosalpinx cinerea*, was introduced with shellfish aquaculture from the Atlantic coast of North America to the Pacific coast and now is an important predator for *Crassostrea gigas* aquaculture and is impacting restoration efforts for the native Olympia oyster, *Ostrea lurida*, in Washington State (Buhle and Ruesink 2009). The green crab, *Carcinus maenas*, a European native that was introduced to the North American Atlantic coast over 100 years ago, was recently introduced to the Pacific coast of North America in 1995. This invader spread north with warm waters during an El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) event, and populations have persisted as far north as British Columbia, Canada (Behrens Yamada et al. 2005, 2008a). Research is under way to determine the impacts of this invader on shellfish and aquaculture (Behrens Yamada et al. 2008a, 2008b; Behrens Yamada and Kosro 2010).

A large number of marine invaders that impact shellfish aquaculture are fouling species (Table 14.4). They include a variety of macroalgae, ascidians (both colonial and solitary), and bryozoans. Ascidians are of special concern as they are increasing in abundance globally and can spread very quickly once introduced (Anon 2005; Bullard et al. 2007; Lambert 2007). They are large bodied and foul cages and other gear, reducing water flow necessary for healthy shellfish (Bullard et al. 2005; Ramsay et al. 2008). They also are very effective suspension-feeders, can directly compete with bivalves for suspended food (Currie et al. 1998), and may serve as a vector for transfer of harmful algal species (Shumway, unpublished). In a recent survey assessing the impacts of fouling species on the economics of aquaculture, Adams et al. (2011) found that efforts to control biofouling cost ~15% of the total operating costs for individual aquaculture businesses and over 40% of businesses feel that fouling decreases the marketability of their product. With the increased number of

**Table 14.4** Introduced species that have been documented to impact bivalve aquaculture, and which aquaculture species or industry they are known to impact.

Group	Scientific name	Common name	Species impacted	Impact	Reference
Alga (brown)	<i>Sargassum muticum</i>		<i>Ostrea edulis</i> ; mussel long line	Foul organisms, may foul gear	Critchley and Dijkema (1984); Harries et al. (2007)
Alga (dinoflagellate)	<i>Alexandrium minutum</i>		Mussels	Toxic, PSP	Hallegraeff et al. (1988); Delgado et al. (1990)
	<i>Gonyaulax excavata</i>		Mussels	Toxic, PSP	Langeland et al. (1984)
	<i>Gymnodinium catenatum</i>		<i>Mytilus galloprovincialis</i>	Toxic, PSP	Hallegraeff et al. (1988); Laiño (1991)
	<i>Procentrum lima</i>		Mussels	Toxic, PSP	Levasseur et al. (2003)
	<i>Procentrum mexicanum</i>		Mussels	Toxic, PSP	Levasseur et al. (2003)
	<i>Procentrum minimum</i>		Mussels	Toxic, PSP	Langeland et al. (1984)
Alga (green)	<i>Codium fragile</i>	Dead man's fingers	<i>Mytilus galloprovincialis</i> ; oysters	Increase recruitment and survival, overgrowth, decrease abundance	Trowbridge (1999); Bulleri et al. (2006)
Annelid	<i>Imogine mcgrathi</i>		<i>Pincta imbricata</i>	Predation	O'Connor and Newman (2001)
	<i>Boccardia proboscidea</i>		Oysters	Bore into shell, cause blisters, increase parasitism risk	Bailey-Brock (2000); National Introduced Marine Pest Information System (NIMPIS) (2002)
	<i>Polydora</i> spp.	Mudworm	<i>Ostrea angasi</i> , <i>Patinopecten yessoensis</i> , <i>Saccostrea commercialis</i> , <i>Saccostrea glomerata</i>	Bore into shell	FAO (2007); Ogburn et al. (2007)
	<i>Polydora ciliata</i>		<i>Mytilus edulis</i>	Bore into shell	FAO (2007)
	<i>Polydora nuchalis</i>			Accumulate in culture ponds	Bailey-Brock (1990)
	<i>Polydora websteri</i>		<i>Crassostrea gigas</i> , <i>Mytilus galloprovincialis</i> , <i>Ostrea angasi</i> , <i>Pecten fumatus</i>	Bore into shell	Bailey-Brock (1990); Nell (2007)

Table 14.4 (Continued)

Group	Scientific name	Common name	Species impacted	Impact	Reference
Bacteria	<i>Nocardia crassostreae</i>		<i>Crassostrea gigas</i>	Disease	Friedman et al. (1998)
	Proteobacteria	Juvenile oyster disease	<i>Crassostrea angulata</i> , <i>Crassostrea virginica</i>	Disease	Renault et al. (2002); Renault and Novoa (2004)
	<i>Vibrio cholerae</i>	Asiatic cholera	Shellfish	Disease	Dalsgaard (1998)
Bryozoan	<i>Bugula neritina</i>		Scallop pearl nets	Foul gear	Dumont et al. (2009)
	<i>Schizoporella errata</i>	Branching bryozoan		Foul gear	GISD (2005)
	<i>Schizoporella unicornis</i>	Single-horn bryozoan		Foul gear	GISD (2005)
Cnidarian	<i>Tubularia crocea</i>		<i>Pecten maximus</i>	Foul gear	Ross et al. (2004)
Crustacean	<i>Carcinus maenus</i>	European green crab	<i>Crassostrea gigas</i> , <i>Mya arenaria</i> , <i>Mytilus edulis</i>	Predation	Behrens Yamada et al. (2008a,b); Glude (1955); Dare et al. (1983); Floyd and Williams (2004); Murray et al. (2007); Behrens Yamada and Kosro (2010)
	<i>Mytilicola orientalis</i>	Parasitic copepod	<i>Mytilus edulis</i> , other mussels, <i>Ostrea gigas</i> , <i>Ostrea lurida</i> , <i>Paphia staminea</i>	Parasitism	Odlaug (1946); Cole and Savage (1951); Gee et al. (1977)
	<i>Pilumnus spinifer</i>		<i>Crassostrea gigas</i>	Foul gear	Sala and Lucchetti (2008)
Echinoderm	<i>Asterias amurensis</i>	Flatbottom sea star	<i>Fulvia tenuicostata</i> , oysters	Predation	NIMPIS (2002); Ross et al. (2002)
Mollusc	<i>Anadara demiriii</i>	Arcid clam	<i>Crassostrea gigas</i>	Foul gear, foul organisms	Morello et al. (2004); Sala and Lucchetti (2008)
	<i>Crepidula fornicata</i>	Common Atlantic slipper snail	<i>Crassostrea gigas</i> , <i>Mytilus edulis</i> , and other oysters and mussels	Competition for food, interference competition, exclude other species, foul organisms	Blanchard (1997); Barton and Heard (2005); Decottignies et al. (2007a, 2007b); Thieltges (2005)

Table 14.4 (Continued)

Group	Scientific name	Common name	Species impacted	Impact	Reference
	<i>Mytilopsis adamsi</i>	False mussel		Exclude other species, foul organisms, foul gear	Wangkulangkul and Lheknim (2008)
	<i>Mytilopsis sallei</i>	Black-stripped mussel		Decrease species richness	NIMPIS (2002)
	<i>Mytilus galloprovincialis</i>	Mediterranean mussel	<i>Crassostrea gigas</i> , <i>Mytilus californianus</i> , <i>Mytilus edulis</i>	Decrease species richness, foul gear, overgrowth	Harger (1968); Geller (1999); Sala and Lucchetti (2008)
	<i>Ocenebrellus inornatus</i>	Japanese oyster drill	Mussels, <i>Ostrea lurida</i>	Predation	Buhle and Ruesink (2009); Faasse and Lighthart (2009)
	<i>Rapana venosa</i>	Asian rapa whelk	<i>Anadara inaequalis</i> , <i>Crassostrea gigas</i> , <i>Mytilus edulis</i> , <i>Mytilus galloprovincialis</i> , <i>Tapes philippinarum</i>	Predation	Kerckhof et al. (2006); Savini and Occhipinti-Ambrogi (2006)
	<i>Urosalpinx cinerea</i>	Eastern oyster drill	Mussels, <i>Ostrea lurida</i> , other oysters	Predation	Barton and Heard (2005); Buhle and Ruesink (2009); Faasse and Lighthart (2009)
Plant	<i>Spartina alterniflora</i>	Smooth cordgrass	Oysters	Habitat alteration, hybridization with native species	Chew (1998); Brusati and Grosholz (2008)
Protozoan	<i>Bonamia exitiosa</i>		<i>Tiostrea chilensis</i>	Disease	Ruesink et al. (2005)
	<i>Bonamia ostreae</i>		<i>Crassostrea sikamea</i> , <i>Ostrea angasi</i> , <i>Ostrea edulis</i> , <i>Tiostrea chilensis</i>	Disease	Ruesink et al. (2005)
	<i>Haplosporidium nelsoni</i>	MSX	<i>Crassostrea virginica</i>	Disease	Ruesink et al. (2005)
	<i>Marteilia refringens</i>		<i>Ostrea angasi</i> , <i>Ostrea edulis</i> , <i>Tiostrea chilensis</i>	Disease	Ruesink et al. (2005)
	<i>Marteilia sydneyi</i>	QX	<i>Saccostrea commercialis</i>	Disease	Ruesink et al. (2005)

Table 14.4 (Continued)

Group	Scientific name	Common name	Species impacted	Impact	Reference
	<i>Perkinsus marinus</i>	Dermo	<i>Crassostrea ariakensis</i> , <i>Crassostrea gigas</i> , <i>Crassostrea virginica</i>	Disease	Ruesink et al. (2005)
Tunicate	<i>Asciidiella aspersa</i>	European sea squirt	Mussels, oysters, scallops	Competition for food, foul gear	Braithwaite et al. (2006); Currie et al. (1998)
	<i>Asterocarpa humilis</i>		Scallop ropes	Foul gear	Castilla et al. (2005)
	<i>Botrylloides violaceus</i>	Orange sheath tunicate	<i>Mytilus edulis</i>	Foul organisms	Ramsay et al. (2008)
	<i>Botryllus schlosseri</i>	Golden star tunicate	<i>Crassostrea gigas</i> , <i>Mytilus edulis</i>	Foul gear, foul organisms	Ramsay et al. (2008); Sala and Lucchetti (2008)
	<i>Ciona intestinalis</i>	Vase tunicate	<i>Mytilus edulis</i> , other mussels, scallop pearl nets	Foul gear, foul organisms	Bullard et al. (2005); Castilla et al. (2005); Braithwaite et al. (2006); Blum et al. (2007); Ramsay et al. (2008); Dumont et al. (2009)
	<i>Didemnum</i> sp.	Carpet tunicate	Mussels, mussel cages, oyster farms, scallops	Foul gear, overgrowth	Bullard et al. (2007)
	<i>Diplosoma listerianum</i>			Foul gear	Bullard et al. (2005)
	<i>Molgula ficus</i>		Scallop ropes	Foul gear	Castilla et al. (2005)
	<i>Molgula manhattensis</i>	Sea grape		Foul gear	
	<i>Styela clava</i>	Asian tunicate	<i>Mytilus edulis</i>	Foul organisms, overgrowth	Bullard et al. (2005); LeBlanc et al. (2007); Ramsay et al. (2008)
	<i>Styela plicata</i>	Leathery tunicate		Decrease species richness, competition for space, foul organisms, slough off and remove other species	Sutherland (1978)

**Table 14.4** (Continued)

Group	Scientific name	Common name	Species impacted	Impact	Reference
Virus	Iridolike viruses		<i>Crassostrea angulata</i> , <i>Crassostrea gigas</i>	Disease	Ruesink et al. (2005)
	Oyster herpesvirus		<i>Crassostrea gigas</i> , <i>Crassostrea sikamea</i> , <i>Ostrea edulis</i> ,	Disease	Ruesink et al. (2005)
	Oyster virus velar disease		<i>Crassostrea gigas</i>	Disease	Ruesink et al. (2005)

Many of these species are likely to have additional ecological or economic impacts. PSP, paralytic shellfish poisoning.

introduced fouling species, especially ascidians, these costs are expected to escalate.

The risk of human-mediated spread of shellfish diseases is also of concern. Increasingly, diseases and parasites are spread to new areas that can have large impacts on native shellfisheries as well as aquaculture (Renault et al. 2002; Renault and Novoa 2004; reviewed in Ruesink et al. 2005). We are also seeing increased spread of bloom-forming harmful algae (HABs). These algae can be spread with transported shellfish. Many HABs can have devastating impacts on shellfish populations (Matsuyama and Shumway 2009). In some cases, HABs cause shellfish mortality, while in others, they result in shellfish closures. Hégaret et al. (2008) examined the potential for bivalve aquaculture to spread harmful algal species and developed methods to minimize the likely transport of HAB species by holding the shellfish either in filtered seawater, free of algae, for 48 h or out of the water for the same time period.

### Recommendations for minimizing spread and impacts of introductions

It is clear that reducing the introduction and spread of nonnative species will be in the best interest of aquaculturists as well as natural

resource managers, and will simultaneously protect biodiversity and help conservation efforts. The large economic costs of unwanted species introductions are being faced by aquaculture facilities and shellfish farmers on a daily basis. Many nonnative species including those introduced by aquaculture itself (Table 14.3) have well-documented impacts on aquaculture (Table 14.4). The documented impacts of unwanted nonnative species are often on aquaculture species and include predation and competition, as well as disease and toxic algae. For others, the costs are seen through fouling of gear, all of which increases the costs to aquaculture, and reduces production (Watson et al. 2009; Adams et al. 2011).

The large economic costs and environmental impacts associated with introductions of species outside of their native range has also put international pressure on scientists and managers to develop methodologies and policies that will minimize the spread of these species (Firestone and Corbett 2005), including reducing the likelihood of aquaculture species themselves becoming pests (Read and Fernandes 2003; ICES 2005) (Table 14.2). New laws are being implemented to reduce the transfer of species via shipping ballast (Firestone and Corbett 2005; Gregg et al. 2009; Vander Zanden et al. 2010) as well as

deliberate introductions of species known to be harmful elsewhere or potentially harmful in the proposed site (Lacey Act 1900). Because it is difficult, if not impossible, to predict which species will become future problem invaders and because the potential costs of invasion are high, in most cases, risk-averse strategies are used that ban or minimize the transfer of any nonnative species.

Natural resource managers should be concerned and careful about allowing introductions of nonnative species to new areas, even if those species are grown in other regions of the same country or state. Small differences in local environmental conditions can be the difference between a species that is locally contained and one that is spreading and impacting other species or systems of economic or environmental interest, as has been seen with the spread of *Crassostrea gigas* in France and the Netherlands (Diederich et al. 2005; Nehls et al. 2006; Kochmann et al. 2008; Schmidt et al. 2008; Thieltges et al. 2009; Markert et al. 2010). This is an important challenge for the introduction of all species with larvae that disperse or those that can readily be moved with gear or boats. In marine systems, although humans recognize political and geographic boundaries, other species do not. Species with long-distance dispersal larvae can travel hundreds of kilometers and readily cross political boundaries.

This issue came into sharp focus for states surrounding the Chesapeake Bay with regard to the proposed wide-scale introduction of the nonnative oyster, *Crassostrea ariakensis* (Committee on Nonnative Oysters in the Chesapeake Bay, National Research Council 2004; Kingsley-Smith et al. 2009). Ultimately, the decision was made to not allow this large-scale introduction. The potential gains were seen as too few and the potential costs due to the introduction of a nonnative too high. In addition, it was determined that the proposed economic gains of this introduction would not be realized.

Any policy (or lack of policy) in one state or country will affect its neighbors. Such awareness has led to new European Union standards of conformity among member states, recognizing the need to protect aquaculture from unwanted introductions, as well as public resources and private industry from the spread of species introduced through aquaculture activities. Council Regulation (EC) No. 708/2007 of 11 June 2007 created a framework governing aquacultural practices to protect aquatic environments from risks associated with the use of nonnative species of animals and plants (including microscopic species) in aquaculture. It controls the movement of any species that is locally absent for use in all types of aquaculture. It also requires all member states to take all appropriate measures to avoid risk to native species and communities resulting from the movement of nonnative species for aquaculture. The regulation requires that neighboring states are informed when any permits for nonnative aquaculture species are granted. Within the United States, similar types of issues are of concern. The regulation of aquaculture permitting is determined within states, and there is no uniform means by which states allow, or do not allow, establishment of new species for aquaculture. In addition, there is no requirement for cooperation between adjacent states that share waterways on decisions regarding allowing, or disallowing, the introduction of new species for aquaculture.

To address these issues, large-scale national and international efforts have begun to develop best management practices (Chapter 3 in this book), standards, and certification for the development of sustainable aquaculture and to minimize the social and environmental issues associated with bivalve farming. One clear example is the leadership taken by the World Wildlife Fund (WWF) in developing the Bivalve Dialogue (WWF 2011), initiated in 2004, which focuses on oysters, mussels, clams, and scallops. Since 2004, there have been

meetings in North America, Europe, New Zealand, and China, with more than 300 participants, with the goal of providing a framework for the development of criteria, indicators, and standards for responsible molluscan shellfish farming.

The WWF dialogue has identified several environmental and social issues related to molluscan production, which include several related to the introduction of nonnative species. These include concerns regarding gene transfer to wild populations and the consequences of escapes and deliberate or inadvertent introduction of new nonnative species, including pests and pathogens. This dialogue has produced a list of principles, which includes those that will reduce the transfer and risk of nonnative species introductions. These include as follows: (1) obey the law and comply with all national and local regulations; (2) conserve natural habitat and local biodiversity; (3) protect the health and genetic integrity of wild populations; (4) manage disease and pests in an environmentally responsible manner; (5) use resources efficiently; (6) be a good neighbor and conscientious coastal citizen; (7) continually improve practices over time; and (8) develop and operate farms in a socially responsible manner.

The WWF dialogues have led to the development of an international Aquaculture Stewardship Council (ASC) with the aim of developing independent third-party accreditation and certification of aquaculture operations of species for which standards have been developed. The ASC will provide a consumer label that can be used by processors and distributors that certify that shellfish products were grown in ways that meet a set of standards.

Individual countries are now starting to develop aquaculture codes of practice that include minimizing the risks and impacts of the spread of introduced species and reducing the likelihood of aquaculture escapees. For example, Ireland is developing such a code that

includes examples of actions individual farmers can take, especially for dealing with fouling organisms ([www.invasivespeciesireland.com/cops/aquaculture](http://www.invasivespeciesireland.com/cops/aquaculture)). The Irish codes are based on those developed by the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES) Code of Practice on the Introductions and Transfers of Marine Organisms developed in 2005 (ICES 2005) and other international working groups developed to stop the spread of unwanted invaders. The goal of the ICES code is to reduce the ecological, environmental, economic, and genetic impacts associated with the transfer of species associated with aquaculture activities. It includes things such as cleaning all boats and equipment that comes in contact with the water, removing all living matter, detritus, and sediment from equipment, and the removal of all water trapped in equipment, including rinse water used to clean boats and gear, in order to prevent accidental transfers of unwanted species. They also focus on preventing the movement of fouled vessels or equipment from one area to another and the use of antifouling technologies. Another important part of reducing the spread of unwanted invaders is reporting all suspicious organisms found, which can greatly help identify new invaders and allow rapid response efforts to eliminate new invasions.

There are clear economic incentives for the aquaculture sector to continue to develop management and industry practices that reduce the impacts of nonnative species on products and equipment. These practices will also insure long-term sustainability of the industry. Such actions include selecting sites for aquaculture and methods that will minimize potential fouling, regular boiling (i.e., cleaning) of gear or cycling gear to reduce the abundance of nonnative species in an area, and disposing of unwanted animals and cleaning water in a way that prevents further spread of these nuisance species. Another effort to help reduce the spread and impacts of unwanted nonnatives

is a project by the Collective Research on Aquaculture Biofouling (CRAB; [www.crabproject.com](http://www.crabproject.com)). Because of the great cost of gear and animal fouling, especially the growing impact of nonnatives, this is a case where it is clearly in the best interest of farmers to take measures to stop the spread invaders. This project is a particularly good example of how to get education and outreach to farmers, and provide them with recommendations that will reduce their costs and reduce the spread of invaders. In general, gear cycling, as recommended by the USDA ([www.mrc.state.va.us/CRD/VA\\_706ajs.pdf](http://www.mrc.state.va.us/CRD/VA_706ajs.pdf)) is one mechanism for reducing the impacts and spread biofouling while keeping costs minimal for farmers.

### **Future needs**

---

There are many parallels between aquaculture and agriculture. Both are important industries that provide essential food resources; however, agriculture relies on domesticated species. These species have been bred for characteristics that enhance production and have been transformed through breeding, often for thousands of years, making them very different than their wild ancestors. Their success is generally dependent on the humans that grow them. Aquaculture, on the other hand, relies on the captive culture of essentially wild species, and like many natural species, when transplanted to new environments these animals can reproduce and spread, often with unintended and harmful results. Continued efforts to develop regulations and oversight will simultaneously allow the benefits of aquaculture to be realized and protect both natural marine systems and shellfish aquaculture from the unwanted impacts of nonnative species introductions.

In addition, we need to focus attention to practices that can result in shellfish aquaculture species themselves from becoming

unwanted invaders. The development of best management practices that prevent the release of unwanted or unneeded individuals to the environment are necessary. The release of larvae or gametes and leaving leftover individuals in the environment after desirable individuals are harvested can lead to the establishment of feral populations that can spread and cause unwanted environmental damage. Similarly, regulations that prevent deliberate attempts to establish populations of nonnative species for harvest or allow nonnative species in aquaculture to reproduce where they can spread are greatly needed. We also need mechanisms that will minimize the risks of the spread of nonnative species and the escape of aquaculture species. This is especially important when there is consideration of introducing new species for aquaculture outside of their native range.

One factor that will influence the spread and impacts of species introductions is climatic change. Species that were once contained in a region, with temperature-driven physiological limits or limits on reproduction, are able to reproduce now that waters are warmer. As a consequence of climatic change, more species may spread to areas thought to be safe from these species (Diederich et al. 2005; Klinger et al. 2006; Kochmann et al. 2008; Thieltges et al. 2009). Planning and consideration of new aquaculture species or the transport of species also needs to include a consideration of projected changes in climate (Chapter 17 in this book) and the potential for the spread of nonnatives when considering the risks of species introductions.

### **Acknowledgments**

---

We would like to thank Robinson Herrera and Geoff Bolen for help with assembling the data for the tables in this chapter.

## Literature cited

- Adams, C.M., Shumway, S.E., Whitlatch, R.B., and Getchis, T. 2011. Biofouling in marine molluscan shellfish aquaculture: a survey assessing the business and economic implications of mitigation. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society* 42:242–252.
- Anon. 2005. Invasive ascidian biofouling in aquaculture: an increasing problem and can it be controlled? *Journal of Shellfish Research* 24:682–683.
- Bachelet, G., Blanchet, H., Cottet, M., Dang, C., de Montaudouin, X., de Moura Queirós, A., Gouilieux, B., and Lavesque, N. 2009. A round-the-world tour almost completed: first records of the invasive mussel *Musculista senhousia* in the north-east Atlantic (southern Bay of Biscay). *Marine Biodiversity Records* 2:e119.
- Bailey-Brock, J.H. 1990. *Polydora nuchalis* (Polychaeta: Spionidae), a new Hawaiian record from aquaculture ponds. *Pacific Science* 44: 81–87.
- Bailey-Brock, J.H. 2000. A new record of the polychaete *Boccardia proboscidea* (Family Spionidae), imported to Hawai'i with oysters. *Pacific Science* 54:27–30.
- Baker, P. 1995. Review of ecology and fishery of the Olympia oyster, *Ostrea lurida* with annotated bibliography. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 14:501–518.
- Barton, E., and Heard, J. 2005. The Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom and The Marine Life Information Network for Britain and Ireland. [www.marlin.ac.uk/PDF/MLTN\\_alien\\_non\\_natives.pdf](http://www.marlin.ac.uk/PDF/MLTN_alien_non_natives.pdf)
- Behrens Yamada, S., Dumbauld, B.R., Kalin, A., Hunt, C.E., Figlar-Barnes, R., and Randall, A. 2005. Growth and persistence of a recent invader *Carcinus maenas* in estuaries of the northeastern Pacific. *Biological Invasions* 7:309–321.
- Behrens Yamada, S., Hardege, J.D., and Bublitz, R. 2008a. Sex pheromones: new tools for controlling European green crabs? *Journal of Shellfish Research* 27:463.
- Behrens Yamada, S., Randall, A., and Gillespie, G.E. 2008b. European green crab status in 2006. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 27:464.
- Behrens Yamada, S., and Kosro, P.M. 2010. Linking ocean conditions to year class strength of the invasive European green crab, *Carcinus maenas*. *Biological Invasions* 12:1791–1804.
- Beninger, P.G., Decottignies, P., Guiheneuf, F., Barille, L., and Rince, Y. 2007. Comparison of particle processing by two introduced suspension feeders: selection in *Crepidula fornicata* and *Crassostrea gigas*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 334:165–177.
- Bishop, M.J., Carnegie, R.B., Stokes, N.A., Peterson, C.H., and Burreson, E.M. 2006. Complications of a non-native oyster introduction: Facilitation of a local parasite. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 325:145–152.
- Blanchard, M. 1997. Spread of the slipper limpet *Crepidula fornicata* (L. 1758) in Europe. Current state and consequences. *Scientia Marina* 61(Suppl. 2):109–118.
- Blanchard, M. 2009. Recent expansion of the slipper limpet population (*Crepidula fornicata*) in the Bay of Mont-Saint-Michel (Western Channel, France). *Aquatic Living Resources* 22:11–19.
- Blanchard, M., Pechenik, J.A., Giudicelli, E., Connan, J.P., and Robert, R. 2008. Competition for food in the larvae of two marine molluscs, *Crepidula fornicata* and *Crassostrea gigas*. *Aquatic Living Resources* 21:197–205.
- Blum, J.C., Change, A.L., Liljeström, M., Schenk, M.E., Steinberg, M.K., and Ruiz, G.M. 2007. The non-native solitary ascidian *Ciona intestinalis* (L.) depresses species richness. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 342:5–14.
- Braithwaite, R.A., Cadavid Carrascosa, M.C., and McEvoy, L.A. 2006. Biofouling of salmon cage netting and the efficacy of a typical copper-based antifoulant. *Aquaculture* 262:219–226.
- Branch, G.M., and Steffani, C.N. 2004. Can we predict the effects of alien species? A case-history of the invasion of South Africa by *Mytilus galloprovincialis* (Lamarck). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 300:189–215.
- Branch, G.M., Odendaal, F., and Robinson, T.B. 2010. Competition and facilitation between the alien mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis* and indigenous species: moderation by wave action. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 383:65–78.

- Brusati, E.D., and Grosholz, E.D. 2008. Does invasion of hybrid cordgrass change estuarine food webs? *Biological Invasions* 11:917–926.
- Buhle, E.R., and Ruesink, J.L. 2009. Impacts of invasive oyster drills on Olympia oyster (*Ostrea lurida* Carpenter 1864) recovery in Willapa Bay, Washington, United States. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 28:87–96.
- Bullard, S., Whitlatch, R.B., Shumway, S., and Osman, R. 2005. Scientists crying “foul”: sea squirts invade Long Island Sound. Wrack Lines, Fall/Winter. Connecticut Sea Grant.
- Bullard, S.G., Lambert, G., Carman, M.R., Byrnes, J., Whitlatch, R.B., Ruiz, G., Miller, R.J., Harris, L., Valentine, P.C., Collie, J.S., Pederson, J., McNaught, D.C., Cohen, A.N., Asch, R.G., Dijkstra, J., and Heinonen, K. 2007. The colonial ascidian *Didemnum* sp. A: current distribution, basic biology and potential threat to marine communities of the northeast and west coasts of North America. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 342:Special Issue:99–108.
- Bulleri, F., Airoidi, L., Branca, G.M., and Abbiati, M. 2006. Positive effects of the introduced green alga, *Codium fragile* ssp. *tomentosoides*, on recruitment and survival of mussels. *Marine Biology* 148:1213–1220.
- Buttger, H., Asmus, H., Asmus, R., Buschbaum, C., Dittmann, S., and Nehls, G. 2008. Community dynamics of intertidal soft-bottom mussel beds over two decades. *Helgoland Marine Research* 62:23–36.
- Caldow, R.W.G., Stillman, R.A., le Vdit Durell, S.E.A., West, A.D., McGorrtly, S., Goss-Custard, J.D., Wood, P.J., and Humphreys, J. 2007. Benefits to shorebirds from invasion of a non-native shellfish. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B, Biological Sciences* 274:1449–1455.
- Carlton, J.T. 1989. Man’s role in changing the face of the ocean: biological invasions and implications for conservation of near-shore environments. *Conservation Biology* 3:265–273.
- Carlton, J.T. 1996. Marine bioinvasions: the alteration of marine ecosystems by nonindigenous species. *Oceanography* 9:36–43.
- Carlton, J.T. 1999. Molluscan invasions in marine and estuarine communities. *Malacologia* 41:439–454.
- Castilla, J.C., Uribe, M., Bahamonde, N., Clarke, M., Desqueyroux-Faundez, R., Kong, I., Moyano, H., Rozbaczylo, N., Santelices, B., Valdovinos, C., and Zavala, P. 2005. Down under the southeastern Pacific: marine non-indigenous species in Chile. *Biological Invasions* 7:213–232.
- Chew, K.K. 1990. Global bivalve shellfish introductions. *World Aquaculture* 21:9–22.
- Chew, K.K. 1998. Growing impact of smooth cordgrass, *Spartina alterniflora*, in Washington State. *Aquaculture Magazine* 24:93–97.
- Cigarría, J., and Elston, R. 1997. Independent introduction of *Bonamia ostreae*, a parasite of *Ostrea edulis*, to Spain. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* 29:157–158.
- Civille, J.C., Sayce, K., Smith, S.D., and Strong, D.R. 2005. Reconstructing a century of *Spartina alterniflora* invasion with historical records and contemporary remote sensing. *Ecoscience* 12:330–338.
- Cloern, J.E. 1982. Does the benthos control phytoplankton biomass in South San Francisco bay? *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 9:191–202.
- Cognie, B., Haure, J., and Barillé, L. 2006. Spatial distribution in a temperate coastal ecosystem of the wild stock of the farmed oyster *Crassostrea gigas* (Thunberg). *Aquaculture* 259:249–259.
- Cohen, A.N., and Carlton, J.T. 1998. Accelerating invasion rate in a highly invaded estuary. *Science* 279:555–558.
- Cohen, A.N., and Zabin, C.J. 2009. Oyster shells as vectors for exotic organisms. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 28:163–167.
- Colautti, R.I., and Richardson, D.M. 2009. Subjectivity and flexibility in invasion terminology: too much of a good thing? *Biological Invasions* 11:1225–1229.
- Cole, H.A., and Savage, R.E. 1951. The effect of the parasitic copepod, *Mytilicola intestinalis* (Steuer) upon the condition of mussels. *Parasitology* 41:151–161.
- Coleman, R.A., and Hockey, P.A.R. 2008. Effects of an alien invertebrate species and wave action on prey selection by African black oystercatchers (*Haematopus moquini*). *Austral Ecology* 33:232–240.
- Coles, S.L., DeFelice, R.C., Eldredge, L.G., and Carlton, J.T. 1999. Historical and recent intro-

- ductions of nonindigenous marine species into Pearl Harbor, Oahu, Hawaiian Islands. *Marine Biology*. 135:147–158.
- Committee on Nonnative Oysters in the Chesapeake Bay, National Research Council. 2004. *Nonnative Oysters in the Chesapeake Bay*. The National Academies Press, Washington, D.C.
- Costa-Pierce, B.A. 2010. Sustainable ecological aquaculture systems: the need for a new social contract for aquaculture development. *Marine Technology Society Journal* 44:88–112.
- Critchley, A.T., and Dijkema, R. 1984. On the presence of the introduced brown alga *Sargassum muticum*, attached to commercially imported *Ostrea edulis* in the S. W. Netherlands. *Botanica Marina* 27:211–216.
- Cuddington, K., Byers, J.E., Wilson, W.G., and Hastings, A. 2007. *Ecosystem Engineers. Plants to Protists*. Elsevier Press, Amsterdam.
- Curiel, D.P., Guidetti, B., Scatolin, M., and Marzocchi, M. 2001. The introduced algae *Undaria pinnatifida* (Laminariales, Alariaceae) in the lagoon of Venice. *Hydrobiologia* 477:209–219.
- Currie, D.R., McArthur, M.A., and Cohen, B.F. 1998. Exotic Marine Pests in the Port of Geelong, Victoria, Marine and Freshwater Resources Institute. Report No. 8:1–57.
- da Silva, P.M., Fuentes, J., and Villalba, A. 2005. Growth, mortality and disease susceptibility of oyster *Ostrea edulis* families obtained from brood stocks of different geographical origins, through on-growing in the Ría de Arousa (Galicia, NW Spain). *Marine Biology* 147: 965–977.
- DAISIE European Invasive Alien Species Gateway. 2008. *Crassostrea gigas*. [www.europe-aliens.org/](http://www.europe-aliens.org/) (accessed on August 1, 2010).
- Dalsgaard, A. 1998. The occurrence of human pathogenic *Vibri* spp. and *Salmonella* in aquaculture. *International Journal of Food Science and Technology* 33:127–138.
- Dare, P.J., Davies, G., and Edwards, D.B. 1983. Predation on juvenile Pacific oysters (*Crassostrea gigas* Thunberg) and mussels (*Mytilus edulis* L.) by shore crabs (*Carcinus maenas* L.). *Fisheries Research Technical Report No. 73*. MAFF Direct. Fish. Res., Lowestoft.
- Decottignies, P., Beninger, P.G., Rincé, Y., and Riera, P. 2007a. Trophic interactions between two introduced suspension-feeders, *Crepidula fornicata* and *Crassostrea gigas* are influenced by seasonal effects and qualitative selection capacity. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 342:231–241.
- Decottignies, P., Beninger, P.G., Rincé, Y., Robbins, R.J., and Riera, P. 2007b. Exploitation of natural food sources by two sympatric, invasive suspension-feeders: *Crassostrea gigas* and *Crepidula fornicata*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 334:179–192.
- Delgado, M., Estrada, M., Camp, J., Fernández, J.V., Santmart, M., and Lletí, C. 1990. Development of a toxic *Alexandrium minutum* Halim (Dinophyceae) bloom in the harbour of Sant Carles de la Ràpita (Ebro Delta, north-western Mediterranean). *Scientia Marina* 54:1–7.
- Dias, P.J., Batista, F.M., Shanks, A.M., Beaumont, A.R., Davies, I.M., and Snow, M. 2009. Gametogenic asynchrony of mussels *Mytilus* in a mixed-species area: implications for management. *Aquaculture* 295:175–182.
- Diederich, S. 2006. High survival and growth rates of introduced Pacific oysters may cause restrictions on habitat use by native mussels in the Wadden Sea. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 328:211–227.
- Diederich, S., Nehls, G., van Beusekom, J.E.E., and Reise, K. 2005. Introduced Pacific oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*) in the northern Wadden Sea: invasion accelerated by warm summers? *Helgoland Marine Research* 59:97–106.
- Dubois, S., Commito, J.A., Olivier, F., and Retière, C. 2006. Effects of epibionts on *Sabellaria alveolata* (L.) biogenic reefs and their associated fauna in the Bay of Mont Saint-Michel. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 68:635–646.
- Dumont, C.P., Urriago, J.D., Abarca, A., Gaymer, C.F., and Thiel, M. 2009. The native rock shrimp *Rhynchocinetes typus* as a biological control of fouling in suspended scallop cultures. *Aquaculture* 292:74–79.
- Elton, C.S. 1958. *The Ecology of Invasions by Animals and Plants*. Methuen and Co. Ltd, London.
- Eno, N.C. 1998. The introduction to British waters of nonnative marine molluscs and the implications to nature conservation interests. *Journal*

- of *Conchology* Special Publication (No. 2): 287–294.
- Eno, N.C., Clark, R.A., and Sanderson, W.G. 1997. *Non-Native Marine Species in British Waters: A Review and Directory*. Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough.
- Faasse, M., and Lighthart, M. 2009. American (*Urosalpinx cinerea*) and Japanese oyster drill (*Ocenebrellus inornatus*) (Gastropoda: Muricidae) flourish near shellfish culture plots in the Netherlands. *Aquatic Invasions* 4:321–326.
- Ferreira, J.G., Sequeira, A., Hawkins, A.J.S., Newton, A., Nickell, T.D., Pastres, R., Forte, J., Bodoy, A., and Bricker, S.B. 2009. Analysis of coastal and offshore aquaculture: application of the FARM model to multiple systems and shellfish species. *Aquaculture* 289:32–41.
- Firestone, J., and Corbett, J.J. 2005. Coastal and port environments: international legal and policy responses to reduce ballast water introductions of potentially invasive species. *Ocean Development and International Law* 36:291–316.
- Flassch, J.P., and Leborgne, Y. 1990. Introduction in Europe, from 1972 to 1980, of the Japanese Manila clam (*Tapes philippinarum*) and the effects on aquaculture production and natural settlement. *ICES Marine Science Symposia* 194:92–96.
- Fletcher, R.L., and Manfredi, C. 1995. The occurrence of *Undaria pinnatifida* (Phaeophyceae, Laminariales) on the south coast of England. *Botanica Marina* 38:355–358.
- Floyd, T., and Williams, J. 2004. Impact of green crab (*Carcinus maenas* L.) predation on a population of softshell clams (*Mya arenaria* L.) in the Southern Gulf of St. Lawrence. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 23:457–462.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). 1996. List of Animal Species Used in Aquaculture. FAO Fisheries Circular No. 914, Rome, Italy.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). 1997. Aquaculture development. FAO Technical Guidelines for Responsible Fisheries No. 5. FAO, Rome, Italy. 40 pp.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). 2005. Database on Introductions of Aquatic Species (DIAS). In: FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department [online]. [www.fao.org/fishery/](http://www.fao.org/fishery/) (accessed on March 30, 2010).
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). 2007. Cultured Aquatic Species Information Programme. In: FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department [online]. [www.fao.org/fishery/](http://www.fao.org/fishery/) (accessed on March 30, 2010).
- Ford, S.E. 1996. Range extension by the oyster parasite *Perkinsus marinus* into the northeastern United States: response to climate change? *Journal of Shellfish Research* 15:45–56.
- Friedman, C.S., and Perkins, F.O. 1994. Range extension of *Bonamia ostreae* to Maine, U.S.A. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* 64:179–181.
- Friedman, C.S., Beaman, B.L., Chun, J., Goodfellow, M., Gee, A., and Hedrick, R.P. 1998. *Nocardia crassostrea* sp. nov., the causal agent of nocardiosis in Pacific oysters. *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology* 48:237–246.
- Gee, J.M., Maddock, L., and Davey, J.T. 1977. The relationship between infestation by *Mytilicola intestinalis*, Steuer (Copepoda, Cyclopoidea) and the condition index of *Mytilus edulis* in southwest England. *ICES Journal of Marine Sciences* 37:300–308.
- Geller, J. 1999. Decline of a native mussel masked by sibling species invasion. *Conservation Biology* 13:661–664.
- Global Invasive Species Database (GISD). 2005. [www.issg.org/](http://www.issg.org/) (accessed on January 28, 2010).
- Glude, J.B. 1955. The effects of temperature and predators on the abundance of the soft-shell clam, *Mya arenaria*, in New England. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 84:13–26.
- Gosling, E. 2003. *Bivalve Molluscs: Biology, Ecology and Culture*. Fishing News Books, Oxford.
- Gregg, M., Rigby, G., and Hallegraeff, G.M. 2009. Review of two decades of progress in the development of management options for reducing or eradicating phytoplankton, zooplankton and bacteria in ship's ballast water. *Aquatic Invasions* 4:521–565.
- Gunther, R.T. 1897. The oyster culture of the ancient Romans. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 4:360–365.
- Gurnell, J., Wauters, L.A., Lurz, P.W.W., and Tosi, G. 2004. Alien species and interspecific competition: effects of introduced eastern grey squirrels

- on red squirrel population dynamics. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 73:26–35.
- Hallegraeff, G.M., Steffensen, D.A., and Wetherbee, R. 1988. Three estuarine Australian dinoflagellates that can produce paralytic shellfish toxins. *Journal of Plankton Research* 10:553–541.
- Harger, J.R.E. 1968. The role of behavioral traits in influencing the distribution of two species of sea mussel, *Mytilus edulis* and *Mytilus californianus*. *The Veliger* 11:45–49.
- Harries, D.B., Cook, E., Donnan, D.W., Mar, J.M., Harrow, S., and Wilson, J.R. 2007. The establishment of the invasive alga *Sargassum muticum* on the east coast of Scotland: rapid northwards spread and identification of potential new areas for colonisation. *Aquatic Invasions* 2:367–377.
- Hégaret, H., Shumway, S.E., Wikfors, G.H., Pate, S., and Burkholder, J.M. 2008. Potential transport of harmful algae via relocation of bivalve molluscs. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 361:169–179.
- Hégaret, H., Wikfors, G.H., and Shumway, S.E. 2009. Biotxin contamination and shellfish safety. In: Shumway, S.E., and Rodrick, G.E. (eds.), *Shellfish Quality and Safety*. Woodhead Publishing, Cambridge, pp. 43–80.
- International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES). 2005. ICES Code of Practice on the Introductions and Transfers of Marine Organisms 2005. 30 pp.
- Jones, C.G., Lawton, J.H., and Shachak, M. 1994. Organisms as ecosystem engineers. *Oikos* 69:373–386.
- Karatayev, A.Y., Burlakova, L.E., Padilla, D.K., Mastitsky, S.E., and Olenin, S. 2009. Invaders are not a random selection of species. *Biological Invasions* 11:2009–2019.
- Kelly, J.R., and Volpe, J.P. 2007. Native eelgrass (*Zostera marina* L.) survival and growth adjacent to non-native oysters (*Crassostrea gigas* Thunberg) in the Strait of Georgia, British Columbia. *Botanica Marina* 50:143–150.
- Kelly, J.R., Proctor, H., and Volpe, J.P. 2008. Intertidal community structure differs significantly between substrates dominated by native eelgrass (*Zostera marina* L.) and adjacent to the introduced oyster *Crassostrea gigas* (Thunberg) in British Columbia, Canada. *Hydrobiologia* 596:57–66.
- Kerckhof, F., Vink, R.J., Nieweg, D.C., and Post, N.J. 2006. The veined whelk *Rapana venosa* has reached the North Sea. *Aquatic Invasions* 1:35–37.
- Kingsley-Smith, P.R., Harwell, H.D., Kellogg, M.L., Allen, S.M., Allen, S.K., Meritt, D.W., Paynter, K.T., and Luckenbach, M.W. 2009. Survival and growth of triploid *Crassostrea virginica* (Gmelin, 1791) and *C. ariakensis* (Fujita, 1913) in bottom environments of Chesapeake Bay: implications for an introduction. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 28:169–184.
- Klinger, T., Padilla, D.K., and Britton-Simmons, K. 2006. Two invaders achieve higher densities in marine reserves. *Aquatic Conservation—Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 16:301–311.
- Kochmann, J., Buschbaum, C., Volkenborn, N., and Reise, K. 2008. Shift from native mussels to alien oysters: differential effects of ecosystem engineers. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 364:1–10.
- Krassoi, F.R., Brown, K.R., Bishop, M.J., Kelaher, B.P., and Summerhayes, S. 2008. Condition-specific competition allows coexistence of competitively superior exotic oysters with native oysters. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 77:5–15.
- Kurlansky, M. 2006. *The Big Oyster: History on the Half Shell*. Ballantine Books, New York.
- Lacey Act. 1900. *An Act to Enlarge the Powers of the Department of Agriculture, Prohibit the Transportation by Interstate Commerce of Game Killed in Violation of Local Laws, and for Other Purposes*. United States Statutes at Large 31: chapter 553, 187–89. US Government Printing Office, Washington, DC.
- Laing, I., and Gollasch, S. 2002. *Coscinodiscus wailesii*: a nuisance diatom in European waters. In: Leppäkoski, E., Gollasch, S., Olenin, S. (eds.), *Invasive Aquatic Species of Europe: Distribution, Impacts, and Management*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, MA, pp. 53–55.
- Laiño, C.C. 1991. *Gymnodinium catenatum* toxins from mussels (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*). *Environmental Technology* 12:33–40.
- Lambert, G. 2007. Invasive sea squirts: a growing global problem. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 342 Special Issue:3–4.
- Langeland, G., Hasselgård, T., Tangen, K., Skulberg, O.M., and Hjelle, A. 1984. An outbreak of para-

- lytic shellfish poisoning in western Norway. *Sarsia* 69:185–193.
- LeBlanc, N., Davidson, J., Tremblay, R., McNiven, M., and Landry, T. 2007. The effect of antifouling treatments for the clubbed tunicate on the blue mussel, *Mytilus edulis*. *Aquaculture* 264:205–213.
- Le Duff, L., Grall, M.J., and Quiniou, L. 2009. First record of the gastropod *Fusinus rostratus* (Mollusca: Fasciolaridae) on the northern coast of Brittany (Western Channel, France). *Marine Biodiversity Records* 2:e63, 1–3.
- Levasseur, M., Couture, J., Weise, A.M., Michaud, S., Elbrächter, M., Sauvé, G., and Bonneau, E. 2003. Pelagic and epiphytic summer distributions of *Prorocentrum lima* and *P. mexicanum* at two mussel farms in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, Canada. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* 30:283–293.
- Lilly, E.L., Kulis, D.M., Gentien, P., and Anderson, D.M. 2002. Paralytic shellfish poisoning toxins in France linked to a human-introduced strain of *Alexandrium catenella* from the western Pacific: evidence from DNA and toxin analysis. *Journal of Plankton Research* 24:443–452.
- Luckenbach, M.W., Mann, R., and Wesson, J. 1999. *Oyster Reef Habitat Restoration: A Synopsis and Synthesis of Approaches*. Virginia Instituted of Marine Science Press, Gloucester Point, VA.
- Mann, R. 1979. *Exotic Species in Mariculture. Proceedings of Symposium on Exotic Species in Mariculture: Case Histories of the Japanese Oyster, Crassostrea Gigas (Thunberg), with Implications for Other Fisheries*. Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, September 18–20, 1978. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Markert, A., Wehrmann, A., and Kroncke, I. 2010. Recently established *Crassostrea*-reefs versus native *Mytilus*-beds: differences in ecosystem engineering affects the macrofaunal communities (Wadden Sea of Lower Saxony, southern German Bight). *Biological Invasions* 12:15–32.
- Masilamoni, J.G., Azariah, J., Nandakumar, K., Jesudoss, K.S., Satpathy, K.K., and Nair, K.V.K. 2001. Excretory products of green mussel *Perna viridis* L. and their implications on power plant operation. *Turkish Journal of Zoology* 25: 117–125.
- Masilamoni, G., Jesudoss, K.S., Nandakumar, K., Satapathy, K.K., Azariah, J., and Nair, K.V.K. 2002. Lethal and sub-lethal effects of chlorination on green mussel *Perna viridis* in the context of biofouling control in a power plant cooling water system. *Marine Environment Research* 53:65–76.
- Matsuyama, Y., and Shumway, S. 2009. Impacts of harmful algal blooms on shellfisheries aquaculture. In: Burnell, G., and Allan, G. (eds.), *New Technologies in Aquaculture: Improving Production Efficiency, Quality and Environmental Management*. Woodhead Publishing Limited, Oxford, pp. 580–609.
- McArdle, J.F., McKiernan, F., Foley, H., and Jones, D.H. 1991. The current status of *Bonamia* disease in Ireland. *Aquaculture* 93:273–278.
- McKindsey, D.W., Landry, T., O’Beirn, F.X., and Davies, I.M. 2007. Bivalve aquaculture and exotic species: a review of ecological considerations and management issues. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 26:281–294.
- Minchin, D., McGrath, D., and Duggan, C.B. 1995. The slipper limpet, *Crepidula fornicata* (L.) in Irish Waters, with a review of its occurrence in the north-eastern Atlantic. *Journal of Conchology* 35:247–254.
- Mineur, F., Belsher, T., Johnson, M.P., Maggs, C.A., and Verlaque, M. 2007. Experimental assessment of oyster transfers as a vector for macroalgal introductions. *Biological Conservation* 137: 237–247.
- Moore, C.G., and Harries, D.B. 2009. Appearance of *Heterosiphonia japonica* (Ceramiales: Rhodophyceae) on the west coast of Scotland, with notes on *Sargassum muticum* (Fucales: Heterokontophyta). *Marine Biodiversity Records* 2(e131):1–5.
- Morello, E.B., Solustri, C., and Frogli, C. 2004. The alien bivalve *Anadara demiri* (Arcidae): a new invader of the Adriatic Sea. *Italy. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 84:1057–1064.
- Mugg Pietros, J., and Rice, M.A. 2003. The impacts of aquacultured oysters, *Crassostrea virginica* (Gmelin, 1791) on water column nitrogen and sedimentation: results of a mesocosm study. *Aquaculture* 220:407–422.
- Muir, J. 2005. Managing to harvest? Perspectives on the potential of aquaculture. *Philosophical*

- Transactions of the Royal Society B* 360: 191–218.
- Murray, L.G., Seed, R., and Jones, T. 2007. Predicting the impacts of *Carcinus maenas* predation on cultivated *Mytilus edulis* beds. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 26:1089–1098.
- National Introduced Marine Pest Information System (NIMPIS). 2002. Eds: Hewitt C.L., Martin R.B., Sliwa C., McEnulty F.R., Murphy N.E., Jones T. & Cooper S. crimp.marine.csiro.au/nimpis (accessed on January 25, 2010).
- Nehls, G., Diederich, S., Thieltges, D.W., and Strasser, M. 2006. Wadden Sea mussel beds invaded by oysters and slipper limpets—competition or climate control? *Helgoland Marine Research* 60:135–143.
- Nell, J.C. 2007. Diseases of Sydney rock oysters. New South Wales (NSW) *Department of Primary Industries Primefact* 589:1–4.
- O'Connor, W.A., and Newman, L.J. 2001. Halotolerance of the oyster predator, *Imogine mcgrathi*, a stylochid flatworm from Port Stephens, New South Wales, Australia. *Hydrobiologia* 459:157–163.
- Odlaug, T.O. 1946. The effect of the copepod, *Mytilicola orientalis*, upon the Olympia oyster, *Ostrea lurida*. *Transactions of the American Microscopical Society* 65:311.
- Ogburn, D.M., White, I., and McPhee, D.P. 2007. The disappearance of oyster reefs from Eastern Australian estuaries—impact of colonial settlement or mudworm invasion? *Coastal Management* 35:271–287.
- Padilla, D.K. 2010. Impacts and spread of a non-native ecosystem engineer, the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 50:213–225.
- Pimentel, D., Zuniga, R., and Morrison, D. 2005. Update on the environmental and economic costs associated with alien-invasive species in the United States. *Ecological Economics* 52:273–288.
- Pranovi, F., Franceschini, G., Casale, M., Zucchetta, M., Torricelli, P., and Giovanardi, O. 2006. An ecological imbalance induced by a non-native species: the Manila clam in the Venice Lagoon. *Biological Invasions* 8:595–609.
- Quayle, D.B. 1988. Pacific oyster culture in British Columbia. *Canadian Bulletin of Fisheries and Aquatic Science* No. 218. Canada Fisheries Research Board of Canada, Ottawa.
- Rajagopal, S., Venugopalan, V.P., Van der Velde, G., and Jenner, H.A. 2003. Tolerance of five species of tropical marine mussels to continuous chlorination. *Marine Environmental Research* 55: 277–291.
- Rajagopal, S., Venugopalan, V.P., Van der Velde, G., and Jenner, H.A. 2006. Greening of the coasts: a review of the *Perna viridis* success story. *Aquatic Ecology* 40:273–297.
- Ramsay, A., Davidson, J., Landry, T., and Arsenault, G. 2008. Process of invasiveness among exotic tunicates in Prince Edward Island, Canada. *Biological Invasions* 10:1311–1316.
- Rawson, P.D., Agrawal, V., and Hilbish, T.J. 1999. Evidence of a steep genetic break among blue mussel (*Mytilus* spp.) populations associated with Cape Mendocino, CA, USA. *Marine Biology* 134:201–211.
- Read, P., and Fernandes, T. 2003. Management of environmental impacts of marine aquaculture in Europe. *Aquaculture* 226:139–163.
- Reise, K., Olenin, S., and Thieltges, D.W. 2005. Are aliens threatening European aquatic coastal ecosystems? *Helgoland Marine Research* 60:77–83.
- Renault, T., and Novoa, B. 2004. Viruses infecting bivalve molluscs. *Aquatic Living Resources* 17:397–409.
- Renault, T., Chollet, B., Cochenec, N., and Gerard, A. 2002. Shell disease in eastern oysters, *Crassostrea virginica*, reared in France. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* 79:1–6.
- Robinson, T.B., Griffiths, C.L., McQuaid, C.D., and Ruis, M. 2005. Marine alien species of South Africa—status and impacts. *African Journal of Marine Science* 27:297–306.
- Robinson, T.B., Griffiths, C.L., Branch, G.M., and Govender, A. 2007. The invasion and subsequent die-off of *Mytilus galloprovincialis* in Langebaan Lagoon, South Africa: effects on natural communities. *Marine Biology* 152:225–232.
- Rodriguez, L.F., and Ibarra-Obando, S.E. 2008. Cover and colonization of commercial oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) shells by fouling organisms in San Quintin Bay, Mexico. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 27:337–343.
- Ross, D.J., Johnson, C.R., and Hewitt, C.L. 2002. Impact of introduced seastars *Asterias amurensis*

- on survivorship of juvenile commercial bivalves *Fulvia tenuicostata*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 241:99–112.
- Ross, K.A., Thorpe, J.P., and Brand, A.R. 2004. Biological control of fouling in suspended scallop cultivation. *Aquaculture* 229:99–116.
- Ruesink, J.L., Lenihan, H.S., Trimble, A.C., Heiman, K.W., Micheli, F., Byers, J.E., and Kay, M.D. 2005. Introduction of non-native oysters: ecosystem effects and restoration implications. *Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and Systematics* 36:643–689.
- Ruiz, G., Carlton, J., Grosholz, E., and Hines, A.H. 1997. Global invasions of marine and estuarine habitats by non-indigenous species: mechanisms, extent and consequences. *American Zoologist* 37:621–632.
- Sala, A., and Lucchetti, A. 2008. Low-cost tool to reduce biofouling in oyster longline culture. *Aquacultural Engineering* 39:53–58.
- Savini, D., and Occhipinti-Ambrogi, A. 2006. Consumption rates and prey preferences of the invasive gastropod *Rapana venosa* in the Northern Adriatic Sea. *Helgoland Marine Research* 60:153–159.
- Schmidt, A., Wehrmann, A., and Dittmann, S. 2008. Population dynamics of the invasive Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* during the early stages of an outbreak in the Wadden Sea (Germany). *Helgoland Marine Research* 62:367–376.
- Shatkin, G., Shumway, S.E., and Hawes, R. 1997. Considerations regarding the possible introduction of the Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) to the Gulf of Maine: a review of global experience. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 16:463–477.
- Shields, J.L., Heath, J.W., and Heath, D.D. 2010. Marine landscape shapes hybrid zone in a broadcast spawning bivalve: introgression and genetic structure in Canadian west coast *Mytilus*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 399:211–223.
- Sjötun, K., Husa, V., and Peña, V. 2008. Present distribution and possible vectors of introductions of the alga *Heterosiphonia japonica* (Ceramiales, Rhodophyta) in Europe. *Aquatic Invasions* 3:377–394.
- Sousa, R., Gutierrez, J.L., and Aldridge, D.C. 2009. Non-indigenous invasive bivalves as ecosystem engineers. *Biological Invasions* 11:2367–2385.
- Steffani, C.N., and Branch, G.M. 2005. Mechanisms and consequences of competition between an alien mussel, *Mytilus galloprovincialis*, and an indigenous limpet, *Scutellastra argenvillei*. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 317:127–142.
- Sutherland, J.P. 1978. Functional roles of *Schizoporella* and *Styela* in the fouling community at Beaufort, North Carolina. *Ecology* 59:257–264.
- Thieltges, D.W. 2005. Impact of an invader: epizootic American slipper limpet *Crepidula fornicata* reduces survival and growth in European mussels. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 286:13–19.
- Thieltges, D.W., Reise, K., Prinz, K., and Jensen, K.T. 2009. Invaders interfere with native parasite–host interactions. *Biological Invasions* 11:1421–1429.
- Trimble, A.C., Ruesink, J.L., and Dumbauld, B.R. 2009. Factors preventing the recovery of a historically overexploited shellfish species, *Ostrea lurida* Carpenter 1864. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 28:97–106.
- Trowbridge, C.D. 1999. An assessment of the potential spread and options for control of the introduced green macroalga *Codium fragile* spp. *tomentosoides* on Australian shores. Center for Research on Introduced Marine Pests and CSIRO Marine Research.
- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). 2009. The Census of Agriculture. [www.agcensus.usda.gov](http://www.agcensus.usda.gov) (accessed on January 2010).
- United States Geological Survey (USGS). 2009. Nonindigenous Aquatic Species. [nas.er.usgs.gov/](http://nas.er.usgs.gov/) (accessed on January 2010).
- Valéry, L., Fritz, H., Lefeuvre, J., and Simberloff, D. 2008. In search of a real definition of the biological invasion phenomenon itself. *Biological Invasions* 10:1345–1351.
- Vander Zanden, M.J., Hansen, G.J.A., Higgins, S.N., and Kornis, M.S. 2010. A pound of prevention, plus a pound of cure: early detection and eradication of invasive species in the Laurentian Great Lakes. *Journal of Great Lakes Research* 36:199–205.
- Verlaque, M., and Latala, A. 1996. Sur une espèce japonaise de *Chondrus* (Gigartinaceae, Rhodophyta) accidentellement introduite dans l'étang de Thau (France, Méditerranée). *Cryptogamie Algologie* 17:153–164.

- Verlaque, M., Steen, F., and De Clerck, O. 2009. *Regulopteryx* (Dictyotales, Phaeophyceae), a genus recently introduced to the Mediterranean. *Phycologia* 48:536–542.
- Wangkulangkul, K., and Lheknim, V. 2008. The occurrence of an invasive alien mussel *Mytilopsis adamsi* Morrison, 1946 (Bivalvia: Dreissenidae) in estuaries and lagoons of the lower south of the Gulf of Thailand with comments on their establishments. *Aquatic Invasions* 3:325–330.
- Watson, D.I., Shumway, S.E., and Whitlach, R.B. 2009. Biofouling and the shellfish industry. In: Burnell, G., and Allan, G. (eds.), *New Technologies in Aquaculture: Improving Production Efficiency, Quality and Environmental Management*. Woodhead Publishing Limited, Oxford, pp. 317–336.
- Wonham, M.J. 2004. Mini-review: distribution of the Mediterranean mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis* (Bivalvia : Mytilidae) and hybrids in the Northeast Pacific. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 23:535–543.
- World Wildlife Federation (WWF). 2011. [www.worldwildlife.org/what/globalmarkets/aquaculture/dialogues-molluscs.html](http://www.worldwildlife.org/what/globalmarkets/aquaculture/dialogues-molluscs.html)
- Zhu, S.M., Saucier, B., Durfey, J., Chen, S.L., and Dewey, B. 1999. Waste excretion characteristics of Manila clams (*Tapes philippinarum*) under different temperature conditions. *Aquacultural Engineering* 20:231–244.